

ADELAIDE INSTITUTE

PO Box 3300
Adelaide 5067
Australia
Mob: 61+401692057
Email: info@adelaideinstitute.org
Web: <http://www.adelaideinstitute.org>

Online
ISSN 1440-9828



May 2011 No 567

Sylvia Stolz aus der Haft entlassen - 13 April 2011 Sylvia Stolz Released from Prison



JVA Aichach



Sylvia – Kevin – Günter





Günter Deckert - Sylvia Stolz - Lady Michele Renouf

Report by Christian Bärthel

Translated by Prof J M Damon

After three years and three months' imprisonment in three different institutions for the crime of expressing proscribed political views, the so-called "Federal Republic of Germany" released Attorney Sylvia Stolz from Aichach Prison at 9 am on Wednesday, 13 April 2011.

A large international group of free speech advocates, including supporters from France, Italy and Great Britain (Lady Michelle Renouf) were present at the main gate to celebrate the release of our intrepid Sylvia.

We had expected her to come through the gate at 8 O'clock at the latest, but she was burdened with a huge amount of written materials -- cardboard boxes filled with court documents, press reports and letters of support that she had accumulated over the years and carefully organized. This delayed her release somewhat.

Beginning about 8:30 I could observe activities in the control room through the window of the main entrance, and so I had the privilege of being the first to give her a friendly hug as she pushed open the door to great applause.

After her many friends had gathered round to hug her, shake her hand and present her with flowers and welcoming gifts, we loaded her belongings into a van and then we all went to a nearby inn where Günter Deckert had reserved the main room for our celebration.

There our "German Joan of Arc" related some details of her years in prison.

For example, she told us that she had been confined in the same cell in which Brigitte Mohnhaupt had been confined during her 24 years' imprisonment.

Her enumerations of the countless minute, often absurdly complicated details, which are designed to completely control the prisoner's daily routine, were particularly revealing. She described the macabre pretexts her captors employed to keep her in particular from getting day passes and furloughs.

These are granted to common criminals but not political prisoners. Even now Sylvia will be closely supervised by a special parole officer for years.

Her case is similar to that of Ernst Zündel, who on the occasion of his release from prison remarked during his welcoming party: "What does freedom mean here?

Freedom is just the outer camp of our prison nation!"

Sylvia said that her pleasure at being released from prison is greatly dampened by the realization that the German nation still far from free...

During our celebrations in the large crowded room, numerous congratulatory messages were read. I presented greetings from Udo Pastörs, head of the NPD (National Party of Germany) in the state parliament of Mecklenburg- Vorpommern, expressing deep gratitude for the efforts of Sylvia and Horst Mahler in the struggle for freedom of opinion in Germany.

The message also stressed the efforts of Pastör's party to abolish the "muzzle clause" of Paragraph 130 of the Criminal Code, which the government uses to stifle dissent.

I was surprised at how fascinated Sylvia was with the little things that had been denied her for years -- things the ordinary person takes for granted.

For example, she remarked on how strongly it affected her to look out the large window of the inn and observe such a wide panorama...

She made the revealing observation that she felt as though something of the strength and solidity of the prison walls that had surrounded had entered her very soul, and this made her grateful for her experiences during the long years in prison. Sylvia is indeed a fascinating woman.

She promised to visit several persons who live in the area and she also promised that she would not tarry in helping the German people regain its freedom in practical ways.

This is her mission in life, her duty to assist Germany and all mankind; it is more vital to her than food and drink. She did in fact allow her food to grow cold. No one could fail to notice that her heart beats only for Germany's future!

We shall soon post photos and videos of Sylvia's release and reception. Heartfelt greetings from

The original German is posted at

http://de.altermedia.info/general/christian-barthel-uber-die-entlassung-von-sylvia-stolz-aus-der-jva-aichach-15-04-11_63670.html

**Here's freedom to him who would speak,
Here's freedom to him who would write;
For there's none ever feared that the truth should be heard,
Save him whom the truth would indict!
ROBERT BURNS - 1759-96**

Celebrating Sylvia Stolz's Freedom

**Sylvia Stolz, Esq.
Rechtsanwältin
Pfarrer-Grabmeier-Allee 10
D-85560 EBERSBERG
Germany**

April 19, 2011

Dear Ms Stolz:

I am greatly pleased that you have been released. Your arrest, and that of all the others, is a most disgraceful act to restrict freedom of speech or to express any opposition to the downward trend from freedom to a tyrannical form of government by those who are working towards a one-world rule.

Every Social Law, and now the Hate Crime Law, "for the betterment" of Society that the Congress of the United States has passed, and every country that accepts such laws, breaks one or more of the Ten Commandments. This debauchment of world society is in direct proportion to the number of such laws pushed and passed strongly by the "Jews." Yet the Major News Media emphasize the importance of Israel in "Jewish" thought and life...conveniently leaving out the evil atrocities Israel has done to the world and continuing to do in Palestine; I would like to suggest that maybe a world-wide effort to rescind the Hate Crime Laws that the Jews had many countries pass and use. They have Embassies in 161 countries as watchdogs for those who "violate" their laws. They have 87 countries that have signed agreements for such laws.

I know that you are in a lonely battle, for I had been in that position more than 40 years; since I realize the truth of who is behind all the world problems. From the days of Spartacus Weishaupt (pseudonym of Adam Weishaupt) who founded the secret order of the Illuminati on May 1, 1776, this worldwide conspiracy for the overthrow of civilization and for the reconstruction of society on the basis of arrested development, of envious malevolence, and impossible equality has been growing steadily. It has been the main spring of every subversive movement during the 19th and 20th centuries; and now at last this band of "extra-ordinary" personalities from the underworld of the great cities of Europe and America have gripped the people and have become practically undisputed. This is the great battle that needs to be won, otherwise they will continue to gain that power they have been after for centuries and will succeed if we do not make a concerted effort to combat it.

Sincerely for freedom,

Amelia Phillips Aremia - AAREMIA@nc.rr.com

Hail Sylvia Stolz!

Robert FAURISSON, April 13, 2011

A noble cause like that of historical revisionism needs a noble figure to embody it: Sylvia Stolz, whose surname in German means "proud," is that figure.

There are revisionists of all origins, all characters, all tendencies, all beliefs. Some are made for research and others for action. Some concern themselves with strategy and tactics whilst others opt for the most candid and direct statements. Most of them consider that a revisionist out of prison is more useful to the cause than a revisionist in prison. I am not far from sharing that opinion; however, after some thought, I believe I need, as a proper revisionist, to look at or re-examine such an idea more closely. Without going so far as to claim that a revolution like the revisionist revolution needs martyrs – a preconception which, at bottom, is rather unseemly – I am bound to admit that the example of renunciation of one's own freedom for the freedom of one's fellow human beings is of a kind to inspire others to self-sacrifice, courage, ardour in battle.

We need the light brought to us by those who, fighting for the revisionist cause, have ended up in prison for having faced that danger in full knowledge of it. I speak here of those who have never yielded, never given assurances to the enemy, never conceded anything either in prison or in court. There are cases – very rare ones – where people land themselves in prison through sheer rashness, foolishness, exaggerated self-esteem, although they may end up, once their case comes to court, giving in, lowering the flag and, in their defence, making hackneyed speeches on the "freedom of expression."

We need real men and real women. I shall not mention names here, lest I overlook any. Nevertheless I shall make an exception for Sylvia Stolz, "The German Joan of Arc," as we readily call her.

Go and see up close what she has dared to say and do in this Germany abominably subjected to the law of the conqueror: you will learn to what heights a woman may rise, a woman who, like the legendary heroines in France, Germany and a good many other lands, lights up our meagre existences.

There remains one wish for me to make: that this young woman will have the strength to go on being the model of heroic humanity that she embodies today for so many amongst us.

From: Bocage bocage@club-internet.fr

Date: April 15, 2011 5:44:44 PM CDT

Subject: 3483 Sylvia Stolz est bien sortie de prison

L'avocate révisionniste allemande Sylvia Stolz, incarcérée depuis le 14/01/08 à la suite de sa défense du révisionniste

germano-canadien Ernst Zündel, est bien sortie de la prison d'Aichach comme prévu le 13 avril à 9h du matin, après avoir donc purgé 3 ans et 3 mois de prison. Une trentaine de personnes l'attendaient, dont l'intrépide Lady Michèle Renouf et le révisionniste Günter Deckert, non moins intrépide et longuement emprisonné par le passé pour ses idées révisionnistes.

Nous ignorons à l'heure qu'il est ce que va devenir Sylvia Stolz dans les mois qui viennent mais savons seulement qu'elle reste soumise pendant 5 ans à un contrôle judiciaire. Nous avons appris que les juges venaient, hélas, de refuser de lever l'interdiction professionnelle à laquelle elle avait été condamnée en même temps que sa condamnation à la prison (et il semblerait même qu'elle soit menacée d'être radiée du barreau...). Elle ne compte pas se laisser faire et a déjà décidé de déposer un recours auprès de la Cour constitutionnelle de Karlsruhe.

En attendant d'avoir plus de détails, on peut toujours lui écrire pour l'encourager à l'adresse suivante:

Sylvia Stolz
Rechtsanwältin
Pfarrer-Grabmeier-Allee 10
D-85560 EBERSBERG
Allemagne

From: Butz Arthur R.

Date: 2011/4/15

German lawyer Sylvia Stolz, incarcerated since 14 Jan. 2008 following her defense of Ernst Zündel, was released from prison on April 13 at 9 AM, as earlier scheduled, after serving 3 years and 3 months. About thirty people attended, among them the intrepid Lady Michèle Renouf and the revisionist Günter Deckert, no less intrepid and lengthily imprisoned in the past for his revisionist views.

We do not know at present what will become of Sylvia Stolz in the coming months but we know that she remains on probation for 5 years. We have learned that the judges are going, unfortunately, to refuse to lift her suspension from the bar to which she was simultaneously sentenced, and it even seems she is threatened with disbarment. She does not know what she will be able to do and has decided to appeal to the constitutional court in Karlsruhe.

While waiting for more details one can always encourage her by writing her at the following address:


Sylvia Stolz
Rechtsanwältin
Pfarrer-Grabmeier-Allee 10
D-85560 EBERSBERG
Germany

An unfriendly Newspaper Report

Ebersberger "Heil-Hitler-Anwältin" ist wieder auf freiem Fuß, Von Steffen Mack, 14 April 2011

Ebersberg - Die Ebersbergerin Sylvia Stolz ist aus dem Frauengefängnis in Aichach entlassen worden. Dort saß die

wegen Volksverhetzung verurteilte 47-Jährige eine mehrjährige Haftstrafe ab.



Sylvia Stolz verteidigte einst Holocaust-Leugner Ernst Zündel.

Ihre Fans haben vor dem Gefängnistor gewartet. Aber viele sind dem Vernehmen nach nicht nach Aichach in der Nähe von Augsburg gekommen. Schon während der quälend langen Zeit, die Sylvia Stolz in Mannheim vor Gericht stand, war die Schar ihrer nicht mehr ganz jungen Anhänger von Verhandlungstag zu Verhandlungstag überschaubarer geworden. Wegen Volksverhetzung verurteilte das Mannheimer Landgericht die Ebersbergerin im Mai 2009 zu 39 Monaten Haft - drei Monate weniger als das ursprüngliche Strafmaß, das der Bundesgerichtshof unter Verweis auf kleinere Rechtsfehler aufgehoben hatte.

Da Stolz seit ihrer ersten Verurteilung im Januar 2008 inhaftiert war, hat sie schon die volle Strafe abgesessen. Eine vorzeitige Entlassung dürfte bei ihr kein Thema gewesen sein: Dafür wären Reue und Einsicht zwar keine gesetzlich vorgeschriebenen, aber praktisch recht hilfreichen Voraussetzungen.

Ihre zweifelhafte Berühmtheit erlangte die bis dato unbekannte Anwältin, als sie 2005 in Mannheim Holocaust-Leugner Ernst Zündel verteidigte. Stolz wurde wegen ständiger Störmanöver schreiend aus dem Gerichtssaal getragen und aus dem Prozeß ausgeschlossen, einen Beschwerdebrief an das Oberlandesgericht unterschrieb sie mit „Heil Hitler“, nannte sich „Geschäftsführerin des Dritten Reiches ohne Auftrag“ und zeigte nach Verkündung des Urteils gegen sie den Hitlergruß.

Jetzt ist Sylvia Stolz also frei. Als Anwältin darf die 47-Jährige einstweilen nicht arbeiten, gegen sie ist auch ein zehnjähriges Berufsverbot verhängt worden. Horst Mahler, nach eigenen Angaben ihr Verlobter, sitzt noch lange im Gefängnis. Als Wiederholungstäter wurde er zuletzt in Potsdam wegen Volksverhetzung und anderer Delikte zu zwölf Jahren Haft verurteilt. Nach Verbüßung seiner Strafe wäre Mahler 85 Jahre alt. Sollte Stolz erneut den Holocaust leugnen, den Hitlergruß zeigen oder sonstigen Neonazi-Unfug veranstalten, wäre das wohl Sache der bayerischen Justiz - sie hat ihren Wohnsitz in Ebersberg bei München. Bei der Mannheimer Staatsanwaltschaft liegt nichts mehr gegen Stolz, wie Sprecher Andreas Grossmann auf Anfrage sagt. Das Bedauern darüber wird sich in der Kurpfalz in sehr engen Grenzen halten.

<http://www.merkuronline.de/lokales/ebersberg/ebersberger-heil-hitler-anwaeltin-wieder-freiem-1204316.html>

Fredrick Töben speaks with Judge Dr Meinerzhagen

[Note: Dr Meinerzhagen, as a witness in the Stolz case stated that it is the most natural thing in the world to tell the truth, but in cases involving matters Holocaust – Section 130 of the German Penal Code – it is the duty of a lawyer to inform his client that it is a criminal act to tell the truth, which will be punishable by imprisonment – “Es ist

Offenkundig aber nicht richtig", and so expression of opinions is criminalised and research and civilised dialogue killed off.

View: http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=rinfyz_OI-s a film from Lady Michèle Renouf about the Freedom for Horst Mahler demonstration at the JVA Brandenburg. Auf Englisch und Deutsch with speech excerpts from Wolfram Nahrath, Rigolf Henning, Ursula Haverbeck, Richard Edmonds and Lady Michèle Renouf.]

Dr Meinerzhagen

Landgericht Mannheim – A1

D – 68159 Mannheim

Germany

For the Record 8 April 2011

Dear Dr Meinerzhagen

Further to my telephone call to you today wherein I acknowledge receiving the undated covering letter from your secretary, Nimke, enclosing your decision made on 17 February 2011.

Of interest here is the letter service you used to advise me of your decision. It was sent via MORGENPOST Briefservice GmbH on 7 March 2011 and post-stamped by MALTAPOST PLC on 11 March 2011 at Victoria, Malta. In time to come the fact that the private entities providing the service have capitalised their names will become transparent.

Permit me to comment on the content of our telephone conversation.

1. You advised me that your decision of 17 February 2011 has temporarily terminated – eingestellt – proceedings **6 Kls 503 Js 27100/04** and **6 Kls 503 Js 9551/99** because the German judicial system has no way of getting hold of me.

2. When I informed you that I would like to travel to Germany – particularly to the Jade Cemetery where I would like to take my parents' ashes because my Grandparents and other relatives are buried there – you advised that the European Arrest Warrant-EAW- remains active.

3. I asked you why you are not also removing-terminating the EAW and I don't recall your response to my question except that you said something to the effect that the EAW is active and if I happen to be caught up in it, then proceedings would immediately begin against me. How can I de-activate this EAW? I recall Mannheim Public Prosecutor Andreas Grossmann being astounded when advised that London and Berlin had

decided in November 2008 to drop the appeal to the High Court, thereby immediately releasing me from Wandsworth Prison. He was unaware that this political decision had been made, and he had not been consulted to offer a legal opinion on my matter.

4. During our telephone conversation from my mobile telephone – 61+417088217 – I also raised the matter of my possibly entering Germany so as to test the legal thesis that Horst Mahler proposes, namely that the Basic Law – Grundgesetz Article 5 – does guarantee free expression in Germany, in particular with a recent decision made by the Constitutional Court, thereby neutralising §130. The tone of your comment thereto indicated your disbelief that §130 has lost its power.

5. Perhaps of interest for you is that my name appears on the 'noteworthy' Alumni list –

<http://www.alumni.unistuttgart.de/service/newsletter/aktuelle/index.html> of the University of Stuttgart, where I used to sing 'Die Gedanken sind Frei' during the 1970s, which I also sang while spending seven months in your Mannheim Prison in 1999.

6. I now wonder if I shall end up like half-conscious John Demjunjuk who is being processed before a German court on a stretcher – and this after the State of Israel's judicial system released him on the grounds that he was not 'Ivan the Terrible'! The behaviour of individuals who are enabling such actions against an old man indicates how morally and intellectually bankrupt they are. Are you aware of this reprehensible German judicial behaviour?

In this sense I thank you again for clarifying some of the issues surrounding your endeavours of continuing your legally action against me.

Sincerely

Dr Fredrick Töben
Goroke Vic 3412
Australia

Why the BBC and Labor Government Cynically Backed the Denis Avey Holocaust Hoax, and why they won't let it go

By Carolyn Yeager warwo14@yahoo.com

The latest media/government/holo industry campaign has turned into a can of worms, but there is too much is at stake to retract it.

Does the public enjoy being fed fairy tales that serve the interests of the power elite? The answer must be yes, especially when it's the kind that plays well with those who long for the "glory of Britain" in a time when Britain is becoming increasingly non-British. In order to soften the blow that via WWII the British not only lost their Empire but are losing their national sovereignty and also their racial distinctiveness, the alien government and media conspire to convince the people that doing so is a good and noble act ... in Britain's "best tradition."

The two media men in the picture above admit they spent years hoping to verify the unlikely tale of Denis Avey, told by him to the BBC 60 years after the fact. They held on to it because for all mainstream government-connected media, new tales about the holocaust are worth their weight in gold.

Here was a living human being in his late 80's with a bold story, but no proof he was telling the truth. Avey apparently did not know the name of the Jewish prisoner he "traded places with" in the early telling of his tale. If he had, the BBC would have known where to look for the man. Rob Broomby [wrote](#) ^[2] in the March 16, 2010 BBC official newspaper *Ariel* (page 5), titled "How a BBC investigation found genuine 'Hero of the Holocaust'" that it was only when someone sent them a copy of a video interview made by a certain Ernie Lobet before his death in 2001, in which he recalled a British soldier he knew only as 'Ginger' who had smuggled cigarettes and chocolate from England to him inside Auschwitz, that they made the connection to Avey.

Here we have a problem because cigarettes, and maybe even chocolate at times, could be purchased in all the camps. In the Monowitz camp, working conditions were quite tolerable, and the Red Cross delivered packages to Jewish inmates right up to the point when Allied bombers began destroying all transports within Germany. If Lobethal knew where his sister

lived (as he said he told Avey), he could himself have asked the Red Cross to look her up and ask her to send him packages. Although, it may have been a difficult request to fulfill since the BBC, with all its resources, could not find Lobethal's sister Susana in the 2000's until they went on a house-to-house search for her in person. Yet we're to believe it was easy for Avey's mother to contact her during the war.

Despite holes in the story, it is accepted

Avey and Susana Lobethal Timms both claim to have met "briefly" in 1945 when Denis returned from the war, according to Broomby. But Avey also says he was suffering from tuberculosis (highly contagious), exhaustion and post-traumatic stress and had to be hospitalized immediately and took two years to recover. I don't believe that these two ever met before the BBC got them together in October 2009. It's more likely that Howse and Broomby had met with Susana and she agreed to go along with the story, or simply agreed to the story. The explanation Avey and Timms give for not knowing each other—that "they lost touch" after 1945—is not persuasive. Susana would surely have made the effort to notify Avey that Ernst was alive, and then was moving to America, if all this were really true. If it were true, Ernst would want to thank the man who "saved his life" if his sister knew who the man was.



The Hoaxers: Denis Avey, the prize liar, is flanked by BBC producer Patrick Howse – left, and BBC World Service reporter Rob Broomby – right, at Number Ten Downing St. in London.

Avey claims he was known as 'Ginger' because of his red hair. He first said he traded places with a Jewish prisoner he had gotten to know in their common workplace (Lobethal), but later changed it to a "Dutch Jew named Hans" who died shortly afterward. Was it because Lobethal, in his testimony on the video, had said not a word about trading places in the barracks for a night? If he were involved in such a dramatic event, it would certainly not have slipped his mind. But Lobethal only told of being given cigarettes.

This, however, didn't deter Howse and Broomby from connecting dots that didn't exist. Lobethal's actual Shoah testimony is that a British PoW he knew as Ginger gave him 10 packs of cigarettes, and he used two packs to trade for heavy socks to wear with his boots. Avey's story is that Ernst got his shoes resoled. Broomby wrote in the *Ariel* promo linked to above that Lobethal said he traded cigarettes for "favours" which "enabled him to get his shoes resoled," and that "saved his life" But when I watched the video testimony, that's not what he said. In any case, this brings up the question that if conditions were as bad inside the Monowitz camp as Avey says, who is doing skilled labor like resoling shoes for prisoners whom Avey says were only waiting to die? In his testimony for the Shoah Foundation, Lobethal did not describe conditions in his camp and barracks the way that Avey does.

Avey was set up to be the next Schindler

Between 2003 and the time Lobethal's testimony was discovered, Avey was saying he had "broken into Auschwitz" and spent a couple of nights in the Jewish barracks to see what it was like. I don't know of him saying that he provided a special Jewish prisoner-friend with cigarettes. I think he said he used cigarettes to bribe his way into the Jewish barracks. I am skeptical that the Shoah Foundation video of Lobethal's testimony came to the BBC's attention *after* and not before they began their search for Susana Timms. Broomby avoids giving a clear timeline. It's possible that once they saw the Lobethal video, the two media men linked together the red-haired PoW Avey with Lobethal's 'Ginger'. It explains why they persevered in their search for Susana—she was the vital link (witness) necessary to tie the two men together.

Avey had already committed himself to the "breaking into Auschwitz" story; now he and the BBC added the "cigarettes-life-saving story" to that, even though Lobethal had said nothing about the former. That part of Lobethal's testimony can be seen in this *new* book-selling propaganda [video](#) ^[3] between 3-3:40 minutes. One wonders what else Lobethal said in his video testimony that we do *not* see.

The BBC kept mentioning Oskar Schindler in connection with Denis Avey, for example [here](#) ^[2] and [here](#) ^[4], hoping to build another huge holo-icon, only this time British, and also use the comparison to publicize the motion picture that is planned

The role of the Holocaust Education Trust

The strong backing of this powerful organization, with its sinister influence on British politics, is key to the whole Avey phenomenon. In his acknowledgements in the book, Avey thanks Lord Janner, Karen Pollack and the team at the Holocaust Education Trust (HET) for their ongoing help and support. "Their work is beyond value." He then thanks Gordon and Sarah Brown. Following this, a page is given over to The Holocaust Educational Trust to advertise its achievements and aim of making the Holocaust a permanent part of Britain's "collective memory."

On Jan. 25, 2010, when Avey first met with Gordon Brown at 10 Downing Street, the Jewish Lord Janner was also [in attendance](#) ^[5]. Janner proclaimed: "Denis Avey is a hero. He risked tremendous personal danger at Auschwitz to learn exactly what went on in that terrible place, and at the Holocaust Educational Trust we work to ensure that his efforts were not in vain - and that all young people learn about, remember and pass on to others the lessons of the horrors of the Holocaust."



HET chairman Lord Janner, Education Secretary Michael Gove, Lessons from Auschwitz student ambassadors Jack Boyce and Nadia Caney

The HET was set up by Labour politicians and is aligned with them. It works to ensure their reelection. Grenville Janner is a member of Labour, was an MP for a time, and was President of the Board of Deputies of British Jews, the main representative body of British Jewry, from 1978 to 1984. He has been a key international figure in efforts to seek compensation and restitution for Holocaust victims. Along with chairing the Holocaust Educational Trust, he is vice president of the World

Jewish Congress. He was instrumental in arranging the 1997 London Nazi Looted Gold conference.



[8]

John Bercow MP, Speaker of the House of Commons and HET chief executive Karen Pollock at the July 2010 reception.

Janner received (bought?) a life peerage as Lord Janner of Braunstone in 1997 and since sits on the Labour benches in the British House of Lords. The president of HET is Steven Rubin. One of the things they do to intimidate British politicians is to place a "Book of Commitment" every Holocaust Memorial Day in the Houses of Parliament and "invite" members to sign it. By doing so, they "honour the memory of those who perished in the Holocaust and pay tribute to the bravery of those who risked their lives to help the persecuted" –in other words, the signees publicly affirm their belief in the Jewish Holocaust. HET takes pictures of the more prominent MPs signing the book, such as Gordon Brown, David Cameron and Nick Clegg ... each looking properly somber and obedient. (You can see these [pictures](#) ^[6] on the fifth page) Do you think any MP would dare to *not* sign the "Book of Commitment?"

On July 2, 2010, after the General Election, the HET hosted the MP's of all political parties, plus students and Holocaust survivors, at a special reception to mark the 10,000th participant in the 'Lessons from Auschwitz' government-funded trips for high school students. The event was held in the Houses of Parliament.

Karen Pollock, as HET's chief executive, writes regular essays published in the Guardian. Her Sunday May 17, 2009 [opinion piece](#) ^[9] was devoted to the idea that if Yad Vashem in Israel honors "Righteous Gentiles" of many nationalities, these governments should also create an honor for them in their individual nations. There can't be too many Holocaust awards—hundreds and hundreds and hundreds of them is the desire of the Jewish organizations. The world will actually revolve around the "Holocaust" as the pivotal point in history; nothing will be more important.



[10]

Here is Karen Pollock again - right, under all the make-up, at an HET fundraiser in 2010 that featured Denis Avey as speaker and raised almost half a million pounds, with Hannah Loftus - left.

The all-important elections

Pollock began her essay with: "*Finally* the government is honoring British heroes who risked their lives to help Jews during the Holocaust" and ended it by reminding us, "As the European and local elections approach, we are again subjected

to *poisonous propaganda from the far right*, who seek to extend an exclusive claim over "Britishness" and who purport to represent our country's heritage. But the *hatred and division* they peddle is the very antithesis of what Britain stands for."

This kind of propaganda is meant to shame Britishers into going along with the Jewish Holocaust agenda. The very powerful Jews of Britain force Holocaustianity down the throats of all British politicians, who don't seem to mind the taste of it, however.

After Prime Minister Gordon Brown's visit to Auschwitz on April 28, 2009 and prior to the June elections, the [Telegraph](#) ^[11] newspaper reported that "Ministers are working on plans for a new award to honour British people who helped the Jews of Europe during the Holocaust. The medal or other award would recognize acts of courage for those who saved Jews or other persecuted groups during the darkest days of the Second World War." "Other persecuted groups" is added as a sop to the politicians, but in fact all those eventually chosen as 'Heroes of the Holocaust' aided Jews. Of course, the HET plays a major role in the selection.

Following this media publicity, the 2009 local elections and European Parliament elections were held on June 4 in England. In 2010, the 'Heroes of the Holocaust' awards ceremony took place at Downing Street on March 9. The publicity for the event highlighted Denis Avey's swap story. On April 6, campaigning began for the British General Election. On May 6, the General Election was held.

Does this not make it clear that some obeisance and slavish offerings to Holocaustianity must always precede the elections in order to get on the good side of the powerful Jews? But now that Labour is out of power, will the Conservatives carry on in the same way?

Efforts to defend against the criticism are appearing

A recent [article](#) ^[12] in the New York Post uses the word "hoax" to report on the growing disbelief in Avey's bizarrely concocted story. The main objection most have is to the 'swap', which is necessary for the title of the book, *The Man Who Broke Into Auschwitz*, and is not something that can be dropped from the story.

The New York Post reports that the U.S. publisher Perseus did not return their call about whether they would conduct an investigation into the accuracy of the book's claims. According to a [reviewer](#) ^[13] of the book on Amazon UK, Hoddard & Staughton, the UK publisher, posted a rebuttal on their website on April 11 to Guy Walters' April 9 Daily Mail critical review, but it was subsequently taken down. Now, however, an April 26, 2011 [article](#) ^[14] by Reuters' Mike Collett-White, tells of the publisher's "point-by-point rebuttal of the Daily Mail article by Guy Walters," but not where to find it. Hodder & Staughton has since said it was "proud to publish" Avey's book, and that "We have never doubted Mr. Avey's testimony." Well, they are not experts either, are they? This is equal to Boston University President Robert Brown writing to me on Sept. 27, 2010 that he "has no doubt Wiesel is a survivor of the Holocaust" and further that Wiesel is "a man of integrity and would not stoop to fabrication." Naturally he must say that, but he does not really have any direct knowledge whatsoever to base it on.

Hoddard & Staughton also said it responded with a "detailed explanation" to a fax from the World Jewish Congress asking to have the book verified. That explanation has not been made public either.

Rob Broomby issued a new statement: "I am certainly not distancing myself from the book at all. I stand by everything in

the book.” Good luck to him. Avey told Broomby that while the Walters’ article is “deeply unpleasant ... I stand by my account. It is a fact.” A fact? Real facts are being ignored, while the issue is presented as being about taking an Englishman at his word.

On April 26 (yesterday as I write this), the BBC is carrying a [Derbyshire story](#) [3] that Denis Avey is “searching for information about the Dutchman named Hans” with whom he exchanged clothing in the camp in Poland! The short notice says he is trying to find out what happened to “the other people involved in his story.” This is really bizarre, a transparent and desperate tactic dreamed up by his co-author Rob Broomby and his publishers, perhaps. Will some cooperative soul crop up saying, Oh yes, I remember good old Hans writing to me about this escapade before I never heard from him again? Or maybe another “survivor” will suddenly appear and recall something about it. It does point out what Avey and the BBC should have been doing much earlier. But, of course, they didn’t expect to be faced with this problem. Solutions are devised as problems arise.

The principals of the hoax are circling the wagons around them. The message is clear: they will do their utmost to survive the attacks that are coming. They have the media on their side, which is a giant advantage. And already the detractors are toning down their words. Piotr Setkiewicz, head of research at the Auschwitz-Birkenau Museum, told Collet-White, “Perhaps 80 or 90 percent of what Mr. Avey says is true, but the problem is that deniers have this wonderful habit of fixing on every single thing which is obviously not true.” It’s certain that 80 percent of what Avey says is NOT true; one would have to go carefully through the book, but I’d be surprised if 40 percent is true. Additionally, Sekiewicz uses that odd language that calls someone who “fixes on what is not true” a denier! It has to follow that someone who does not pay attention to what is obviously not true is a believer.

Plenty of precedent for the Avey hoax phenomenon

The NY Post article mentions three of the well-known fictional “true stories” written by fake WWII camp survivors, but there are many books written by real camp survivors that are also mostly or partly fiction. One is *The Password is Courage* about Charles Coward, the first man who broke into Auschwitz, and who is the model for Avey’s copy-cat bravado. Avey’s insistence that his purpose is to “witness” is strangely similar to the sentiments of another self-appointed witness, Elie Wiesel, whose book *Night* is also a bizarre concoction by someone who wasn’t there. There are no records in Auschwitz-Birkenau or Buchenwald for Elie Wiesel or his father, nor does Wiesel have the famous tattoo on his arm. He, like Avey, waited to hear the stories of other people before he wrote his own, and his book also doesn’t jive with the official reality (or even physical reality) in several important places.

Holocaust literature is so full of fakes that are protected by the ‘holocaust industry’ and media that this Industry must now be vigilant against the most outrageous new fakes so as not to draw attention to the fakery in the old ones (as I just did above). This is the concern of the Auschwitz-Birkenau Museum and the World Jewish Congress. The Yad Vashem Memorial Museum in Jerusalem has said it will definitely not be awarding Avey the “Righteous of the Nations” title. New holocaust memoirs by men and women who decided to write them after a lifetime of silence should be discounted right off the bat.

They are money-making or glory-making ventures, as cynical as it gets.

Cui Bono—Who Benefits?

The British Holocaust Education Trust should also be concerned about the fakery they have promoted, but they are too deep into it and have no one else at whom they can point the finger. Passing off the blame is always necessary, a form of ‘plausible denial.’ The same goes for the BBC and the Labour Party and government of Gordon Brown—they were too anxious to force this story into the public consciousness for their own political gain without regard for its obvious falsity. But when have those benefiting from the holocaust been concerned with truthfulness?

Rob Broomby is guilty of personally accepting and seeking to profit from this hoax, along with Patrick Howse. The book’s publishers had a great deal to gain, but now have a great deal to lose. They will do all they can to blunt the criticism. This is why the criticism must continue, and become ever more widely sourced ... as well as louder and more demanding.

To show that even the guilty cannot help but speak the truth at times, it’s utterly appropriate that Broomby [reports](#) [2] that Avey is fond of saying to Howse and himself, “It’s you two who opened this can of worms.” How very apt. A can of worms indeed is what it is.

[1] Image: <http://www.revblog.codoh.com/wp-content/uploads/2011/04/photo1.jpg>

[2] wrote: <http://www.ex-bbc.net/Ariel/Arielwk11.2010.pdf>

[3] video: <http://www.bbc.co.uk/news/uk-england-derbyshire-13195733>

[4] here: http://news.bbc.co.uk/2/hi/uk_news/8433968.stm

[5] in attendance:

<http://pqasb.pqarchiver.com/jpost/access/1949540991.html?FMT=ABS&FMTS=ABS:FT&type=current&date=Jan+25%2C+2010&author=JONNY+PAUL&pub=Jerusalem+Post&desc=Brown+meets+soldier+who+swapped+places+with+Auschwitz+prisoner&pqatl=google>

[6] pictures:

http://www.het.org.uk/docs/annual_report_2006_0.pdf

[7] Image: http://www.revblog.codoh.com/wp-content/uploads/2011/04/Avey_Lord-Janner-etc..jpg

[8] Image: http://www.revblog.codoh.com/wp-content/uploads/2011/04/Avey_Karen-PollockHET.jpg

[9] opinion piece:

<http://www.guardian.co.uk/commentisfree/2009/may/17/british-war-heroes-jews>

[10] Image: http://www.revblog.codoh.com/wp-content/uploads/2011/04/Avey_fundraiser_Pollock.jpg

[11] Telegraph:

<http://www.telegraph.co.uk/news/politics/gordon-brown/5237879/Gordon-Brown-pledges-funds-for-Holocaust-memorial-during-tour-of-Auschwitz.html>

[12] article:

http://www.nypost.com/p/news/opinion/opedcolumnists/holocaust_hero_or_hoax_fLX8ViKYfUXHGGuLjzfEQP/0

[13] reviewer: http://www.amazon.co.uk/product-reviews/1444714163/ref=cm_cr_dp_synop?ie=UTF8&showViewpoints=0&sortBy=bySubmissionDateDescending#R2DBKWM52ENFCR

[14] article:

http://news.yahoo.com/s/nm/20110426/stage_nm/us_auschwitz_book

THINK ON THESE THINGS:

A pretext for military action/ false flag operations.

On 28 APRIL 1996 Australia came of age at PORT ARTHUR, Tasmania,
with its own copy of the 13 March 1962 planned U.S OPERATION NORTHWOODS.

WARNING: These clips are gruesome. Do not watch if you are easily upset by gruesome death scenes:

Clip1 - <http://www.toben.biz/images/anis/Port%20Arthur28041996/PortArthur1.VOB>

Clip2 - <http://www.toben.biz/images/anis/Port%20Arthur28041996/PortArthur2.VOB>

John Howard wore a flack-jacket as he addressed farmers, and survived,
& the Australian parliament passed new Gun-Control Laws.

When government employees turn on their own people, then the seeds
for a civil war are sown...and watch those public servants who take the
psychiatric express out of the service suffering from permanent
anxiety-based disability!

Alpine Landscape by Adolf Hitler



Time Man of the Year 1938



Australian Prime Minister, Julia Gillard, and Jon Stanhope, Chief Minister ACT, in Canberra 19 April 2011.
Every month the world's Freemasons also salute their Master like that, as did the Romans.



Why is it that the World cannot forget Adolf Hitler on his Birthday: 20 April 2011?

...and a house in England that looks like Hitler?

<http://www.telegraph.co.uk/news/newstoppers/howaboutthat/8414149/House-that-looks-like-Hitler-catches-eye-of-Twitter-users.html>




The house in Port Tenant, Swansea, England, that looks like Hitler - Photo: ATHENA



The website www.catsthatlooklikehitler.com features thousands of photos of kitties that look like Hitler, or
 Kitlers Photo: www.catsthatlooklikehitler.com / GETTY



Another cat that looks like Hitler Photo: www.catsthatlooklikehitler.com



A goldfish owned by a couple in Stockport that bore a resemblance to Hitler



The house takes its place alongside the hundreds of other animals and objects said to resemble the Nazi leader,
 such as this bottle of lotion Photo: GETTY

The current global shroud of Internationalism tries to hide much-feared Nationalism. Anyone who targets the International global financial power elite as creators of the world's evil still flirts with the false political dichotomy: Left-Right Wing, which is like class thinking, pure false consciousness. We oppose the Global Village for the sake of a Globe full of diverse Villages. Wir bekämpfen die Gleichmacherei!

I AM AN ANTISEMITE!

1. If "semitism" is stealing your neighbor's land, destroying his house and imprisoning and torturing his family, then yes, I am antisemitic.
2. If "semitism" is committing terrible acts of violence and framing someone else for them, then yes, I am antisemitic.
3. If "semitism" is compromising the USA government through blackmail and other threats, then yes, I am antisemitic.
4. If "semitism" is imprisoning millions of improvised and brutalized people, cutting off all basic necessities for life, then yes, I am antisemitic.
5. If "semitism" is taking billions in aid from the USA and then murdering Americans with impunity, then yes, I am antisemitic.
6. If "semitism" is ignoring all UN Resolutions critical of you and then using the same UN to raise trumped-up charges against an INNOCENT nation, then yes, I am antisemitic.
7. If "semitism" is coercing the USA government and fooling the American people into attacking an INNOCENT nation, costing millions of innocent lives, including American soldiers, then yes, I am antisemitic.
8. If "semitism" is attacking unarmed humanitarian ships in INTERNATIONAL waters, murdering at least nine people,

including an American, shot FOUR times in the head, then yes, I am antisemitic.

9. If "semitism" is thinking that you are a master and superior race that has to answer to NO one, then yes, I am antisemitic.
10. If "semitism" is taking American tax dollars and then spitting in America's face, then yes, I am antisemitic.
11. If "semitism" is suppressing any and all discussion of high crimes against humanity then yes, I am antisemitic.
12. If "semitism" is constant lying and deception to achieve a goal that is illegal and immoral then yes, I am antisemitic.
13. If "semitism" is arming yourself to the teeth, threatening other nations with attack AND attacking other nations because they are supposedly armed to the teeth and threatening you, then yes, I am antisemitic.
14. If "semitism" is staging 911 with the help of traitorous Americans in high positions of power, murdering over 3,000 innocent Americans so that the USA will attack your "enemies" blamed for doing 911(and in the process blackmailing those same officials who helped you pull off 911!) then yes, I am antisemitic.
15. If "semitism" is calling anyone critical of you or revealing of your actions "antisemitic" then yes, I am antisemitic.

Egypt to open Gaza border crossing

Egypt's foreign minister says Cairo will permanently open the Rafah border crossing to ease Israel's blockade on Gaza. 29 Apr 2011

Egypt is to permanently open the Rafah border crossing to ease the Israeli blockade on Gaza, Nabil al-Arabi, the country's foreign minister, has said.

Arabi said Egypt would take "important steps to help ease the blockade on Gaza in the few days to come".

Speaking to Al Jazeera on Friday, the minister said Egypt would no longer accept that the Rafah border, Gaza's only crossing that bypasses Israel, remain blocked, describing the decision to seal it off as "shameful".

The announcement came days after Hamas, which controls Gaza, and their secular West Bank rivals Fatah, which controls the Palestinian Authority (PA), agreed to end their rift and form an interim government to prepare for elections.

In talks before the deal, the two sides had discussed reopening the crossing after positioning PA representatives at the border, a condition in a US-brokered 2005 border crossing agreement between Israel and the PA.

Mahmud Zahar, a senior Hamas official, told the AFP news agency that it was understood that the crossing, which under the 2005 agreement was to be monitored by European Union delegates, would be opened after a unity deal.

A senior official in Jerusalem said Israel was "very concerned" about the implications of the Rafah crossing being open.

Speaking on condition of anonymity, the official said Hamas had already built up a "dangerous military machine" in northern Sinai which could be further strengthened by opening Rafah.

"What power could they amass if Egypt was no longer acting to prevent that build-up?" the official said. Earlier this week, unknown assailants in northern Sinai blew up a gas pipeline supplying Israel and Jordan, the second time it has been sabotaged in 10 weeks.

Israeli concerns

"We are troubled by the developments in Egypt, by the voices calling to annul the peace treaty, by the rapprochement between Egypt and Iran, and by the upgrading of relations between Egypt and Hamas," the Israeli source said.

"These developments potentially have strategic implications for Israel's national security."

Palestinian officials welcomed the Egyptian move, with Saeb Erakat, the PA's chief negotiator, saying it was one step towards loosening the siege on the Gaza Strip.

"We welcome this step by Egypt. We have been pressing them all the time to end the suffering of the people in Gaza, but the real siege is caused by Israel because there are many border crossings with Israel but only one with Egypt," he said.

"We ask Israel to open all the borders to end this crime against the Palestinian people in the Gaza Strip," he said.

Hatem Ewidah, the Hamas official in charge of border crossings in Gaza, also welcomed the move, but stressed it was "important to open the commercial crossing with Egypt" to reduce the impact of the blockade.

Shift in power In a reminder of the border tensions, which is honeycombed with tunnels that supply Gaza with everything from cars and cattle to guns, police announced hours after Arabi's comments that smugglers had shot dead an Egyptian soldier on Thursday.

The border has remained largely shut since June 2006 when Israel imposed a tight blockade on Gaza after fighters snatched Israeli soldier Gilad Shalit, who is still being held.

The blockade was tightened a year later when Hamas seized control of the territory, ousting forces loyal to the Western-backed PA.

The UN has called the blockade illegal and repeatedly demanded it be lifted.

<http://english.aljazeera.net/news/middleeast/2011/04/2011429155947718117.html>

Missing Iran leader Ahmadinejad under pressure from MPs

1 May 2011 Last updated at 09:47 GMT

Powerful MPs in Iran have called for a closed debate on President Mahmoud Ahmadinejad's apparent boycott of his official duties. The president has not been seen at his office for days, missing two cabinet meetings and cancelling a visit to the holy city of Qom. Iran's Supreme Leader, Ayatollah Khamenei, recently re-instated a cabinet minister he had pushed out.

Analysts believe an internal power struggle may be under way. One conservative MP, Gholamreza Mesbahimoghadam, told parliament on Saturday: "Let the Majlis [parliament] do its legal job." This was a tacit call for Mr Ahmadinejad's impeachment, the BBC's Mohsen Asgari in Tehran says.

Some MPs have accused Mr Ahmadinejad's supporters of putting pressure on ministers to sign a letter backing president against the supreme leader.

Simmering tensions between supporters of the two men came to a head on 17 April, when Intelligence Minister Heidar Moslehi was forced to resign, our correspondent adds.

Mr Moslehi was promptly re-instated by the supreme leader.

Under the constitution, the president is in charge of appointing ministers - who then need to be approved by parliament. Nearly 300 MPs urged Mr Ahmadinejad, in a letter, to respect Ayatollah Khamenei's decision.

While the president has not been seen at government meetings, Mr Moslehi attended a cabinet meeting last Sunday, the Associated Press news agency reports.

One unnamed reformist politician told the BBC that a "game of chicken" had begun. "I hope one side yields at the end - otherwise it will move the country toward unprecedented instability that will certainly suck the current Arab uprisings into the country," the politician added.

Analysis

Mohsen Asgari BBC News, Tehran

Many conservatives believe that Vice-President Esfandiar Rahim Mashaie is the root cause of the problem. "Today Mashaie is the actual president," Mojtaba Zolnoor, a senior cleric, said. "I hope that God will rid the president from the evil of this person." Mr Mashaie, who is rumoured to be the president's choice to succeed him in 2013, has been working with Mr Ahmadinejad for 25 years. He is bitterly condemned by conservatives for his nationalistic views and his liberalism on cultural and social issues. However, Mr Ahmadinejad's followers believe that some are plotting against the president.

<http://www.bbc.co.uk/news/world-middle-east-13250309>

THE BIG LIE ABOUT THE BERLIN OLYMPICS

In its broadest scope, the Holocaust myth involves much more than the supposed gassing of the famous "six million." It includes every related lie which the Jewish propagandists have invented about Germany and the Germans in the period 1933-1945. One of the most brazen of these lies concerns the Olympic games of 1936, which were held in Berlin.

According to the story, which is being given renewed currency by the controlled media as the 1984 Olympics in Los Angeles draw nearer, Adolf Hitler intended for the 1936 games to prove to the world his "master-race theory" of Aryan superiority. But the theory was shattered, the story goes when U.S. Negro sprinter and jumper Jesse Owens defeated the Nazi athletes. Humiliated and enraged, Hitler then showed his lack of sportsmanship by snubbing the Black champion.

The truth of what happened at the 1936 Olympic games was witnessed by 4.5 million spectators from all over the world, and it has been related numerous times since then -- but never by the controlled news media in the United States, which unvaryingly parrot the same, old lie whenever the occasion arises.

[See, for example, Adolf Hitler by John Toland (Doubleday, 1976, pp.392-3] And it is that lie which the average American, whose greatest single source of information is Jew-controlled television, believes. Checking out the story of the "gas ovens" and the "six million" may be too much for anyone but a scholar experienced in historical research, but any sports fan willing to spend two or three hours in a library reading unbiased accounts of the 1936 Berlin games can convince himself that today's Jewish version of what happened there and then is almost exactly contrary in every particular to the truth.

He can learn that the behavior of Hitler and his government exemplified the ideals not only of sportsmanship, but also of hospitality, in the view of nearly everyone who was there; that if anyone behaved in an unsportsman-like way, it was the U.S. team, which was under orders not to extend the customary courtesy of the Olympic salute to the Tribune of Honor, where Hitler sat;

that **Hitler did not snub Owens, and the Black athlete himself later said, "When I passed the Chancellor he arose, waved his hand at me, and I waved back at him. I think the writers showed bad taste in criticizing the man of the hour in Germany"; and that, far from being humiliated by the results of the games, Hitler was elated, because the Germans won more gold, more silver, and more bronze medals (89 altogether, compared to 56 for the Americans)(1) than anyone else. (In the words of historian John Toland, "The games had been an almost unqualified Nazi triumph.")**

It would require more study to learn that the National Socialists never theorized that Aryans are inherently better in every type of athletic endeavor than non- Aryans; that instead **they recognized that each race's peculiarities give it certain advantages and certain disadvantages in competing against other races; and that the particular form of the "master-race theory" attributed to Hitler is a Jewish invention. But what really should be learned from the lie about the 1936 Olympics is just how credible is the Holocaust myth of which it is part and parcel.**

<http://www.faem.com/natvan/olympics.htm>